Open-Shell Nuclei from No-Core Shell Model with Perturbative Improvement

Alexander Tichai,* Eskendr Gebrerufael, and Robert Roth
Institut für Kernphysik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Schlossgartenstr. 2, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany
(Dated: March 17, 2017)

We introduce a hybrid many-body approach that combines the flexibility of the No-Core Shell Model (NCSM) with the efficiency of Multi-Configurational Perturbation Theory (MCPT) to compute ground- and excited-state energies in arbitrary open-shell nuclei in large model spaces. The NCSM in small model spaces is used to define a multi-determinantal reference state that contains the most important multi-particle multi-hole correlations and the second-order MCPT correction is used to capture additional correlation effects from a large model space. We apply this new *ab initio* approach for the calculation of ground-state and excitation energies of even and odd-mass carbon and oxygen isotopes and find excellent agreement with large-scale NCSM calculations that are computationally much more demanding.

arXiv:1703.05664v1 [nucl-th] 16 Mar 2017

Introduction. The solution of the nuclear many-body problem with realistic interactions is at the heart of *ab initio* nuclear structure theory. In recent years tremendous progress has been made in the *ab initio* description of nuclear observables, particularly in the regime of medium-mass nuclei beyond the *p*-shell. Innovative approaches like the Coupled Cluster (CC) theory [1–6], the In-Medium Similarity Renormalization Group (IM-SRG) [7–12], or Self-Consistent Green's function methods [13, 14] have been established and provide accurate descriptions of ground-states observables. In a previous work we have shown that many-body perturbation theory (MBPT) with Hartree-Fock single-particle orbitals yields rapidly convergent perturbation series and that low-order partial sums are in agreement with state-of-the-art CC calculations [15], thus, adding an additional tool to the collection of efficient medium-mass methods.

Despite all the progress, the description of fully open-shell medium-mass systems remains a challenge. The aforementioned methods, in their initial formulation, are limited to ground states of nuclei with closed sub-shells, because the construction of the fully correlated states in these methods is based on a single Slater determinant with good quantum numbers as reference state. Several extensions have been developed to expand the range of these single-determinant methods beyond closed-shell nuclei. Isotopes in the vicinity of shell closures can be tackled by equation-of-motion techniques build on the ground state of a neighbouring closed-shell nucleus [4]. Further away from shell closures, traditional shell-model approaches, build on a closed-shell core and a small valence space, combined with non-perturbative valence-space interactions derived from either CC [16] or IM-SRG [17–19] have been used successfully.

A important step towards a full no-core description of open-shell nuclei with multi-determinantal reference states is the multi-reference formulation of the IM-SRG [12]. Initial applications use particle-number projected Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov reference states for even-mass isotopes in semi-magic chains [20–22]. Recently, we merged the multi-reference IM-SRG with the No-Core Shell Model (NCSM) [23–25] to address arbitrary even-mass isotopes and excited states [26]. These methods are powerful and efficient but far from trivial, both, conceptually and algorithmically.

In this letter we present a much simpler approach, a combination of the NCSM in small model spaces with a low-order MBPT correction to capture correlations from a large space. This hybrid method, for the first time, enables calculations of nuclear ground-state and excitation energies for all open-shell systems in large no-core model spaces. After defining the Hamiltonian, we review multi-configurational perturbation theory and discuss the combination with reference states obtained in the NCSM. We then explore the convergence of the perturbative expansion up to high-orders to justify low-order truncations. Using second-order perturbative corrections we perform a detailed study of ground-state and excitation energies for carbon and oxygen isotopes and benchmark with large-scale NCSM calculations.

Nuclear Hamiltonian. In all following calculations we start from the chiral nucleon-nucleon (NN) interaction at next-to-next-to-next-to leading order by Entem and Machleidt [27]. We include the chiral three-nucleon (3N) interaction at next-to-next-to leading order with a local regulator and a three-body cutoff of $\Lambda_{3N} = 400\,\mathrm{MeV}$ [28, 29]. The Hamiltonian is softened using a Similarity Renormalization Group (SRG) transformation with flow parameter $\alpha = 0.08\,\mathrm{fm}^4$ [24, 30–33]. This transformation induces many-nucleon forces that are included consistently up to the 3N level, many-body forces beyond that level are neglected. This specific SRG-evolved interaction has been used in a number of calculations in the medium-mass regime (see, e.g., Refs. [6, 14, 15, 21, 22, 26]) and is, thus, ideally suited to benchmark the present approach.

Multi-Configurational Perturbation Theory. The heart of Rayleigh-Schrödinger perturbation theory is the definition of an additive splitting, called partitioning, of the Hamiltonian into an unperturbed part H_0 and a perturbation W, such that $H = H_0 + W$. While the choice of partitioning is simple in the case of standard MBPT with respect to a single Slater determinant, there is no canonical generalisation to multi-configurational reference states and several formulations are possible. We adopt the so-called multi-configurational perturbation theory (MCPT) discussed in Ref. [34, 35] and also used in Ref. [36].

We choose the reference state $|\psi_{\mathrm{ref}}\rangle$ to be a normalised eigenvector obtained in a prior NCSM calculation in a model

space $\mathcal{M}_{\rm ref}$,

$$|\psi_{\rm ref}\rangle \equiv \sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{M}_{\rm ref}} c_\nu\,|\phi_\nu\rangle\ , \tag{1}$$

where c_ν denotes the expansion coefficients and $\{|\phi_\nu\rangle\}$ the orthonormal many-body basis states, the simple Slater determinant basis of the NCSM in our case. The unperturbed Hamiltonian is chosen such that the reference state fulfills an eigenvalue relation

$$H_0\,|\psi_{\rm ref}\rangle = E^{(0)}_{\rm ref}\,|\psi_{\rm ref}\rangle\ . \tag{2}$$

Formally, the unperturbed Hamiltonian can then be written in the spectral representation

$$H_0 = E^{(0)}_{\rm ref}\,|\psi_{\rm ref}\rangle\langle\psi_{\rm ref}| + \sum_{\nu\notin\mathcal{M}_{\rm ref}} E^{(0)}_\nu\,|\phi_\nu\rangle\langle\phi_\nu|\ . \tag{3}$$

Note that only the reference state and not the other eigenstates of the initial NCSM calculation in $\mathcal{M}_{\rm ref}$ are relevant here.

Following the Møller-Plesset idea, the zeroth-order energies $E^{(0)}_\nu$ of the unperturbed many-body states $|\phi_\nu\rangle$ outside the reference space are given by the sum $E^{(0)}_\nu = \sum_p \epsilon_p$ of single-particle energies ϵ_p for the states occupied in $|\phi_\nu\rangle$. The single-particle energies are defined via

$$\epsilon_p \equiv \langle p|H^{[1]}|p\rangle + \sum_{rs}\langle pr|H^{[2]}|ps\rangle\ \gamma_{rs}\ , \tag{4}$$

where $H^{[1]}, H^{[2]}$ are the one- and two-body parts of the full Hamiltonian, respectively, and γ_{rs} is the one-body density matrix of the reference state. In principle, an explicit three-body term can be included as well, however, for the sake of computational simplicity we will later-on use a multi-reference normal-ordered two-body approximation for the inclusion of 3N interactions [37]. The zeroth-order reference energy is also defined via these single-particle energies taking into account the multi-determinantal character of the reference state through mean occupation numbers, i.e., the diagonal elements of the one-body density matrix γ_{pp}, so that $E^{(0)}_{\rm ref} = \sum_p \epsilon_p\gamma_{pp}$.

With the partitioning defined in Eq. (3) the zeroth- and first-order contributions to the perturbation series for the energy read

$$\begin{aligned} E^{(0)} &= \langle\psi_{\rm ref}|H_0|\psi_{\rm ref}\rangle = E^{(0)}_{\rm ref}\ , \\ E^{(1)} &= \langle\psi_{\rm ref}|W|\psi_{\rm ref}\rangle = \langle\psi_{\rm ref}|H|\psi_{\rm ref}\rangle - E^{(0)}_{\rm ref}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Obviously, the sum $E^{(0)}+E^{(1)}$ reproduces the full reference energy, i.e., the eigenvalue obtained for the reference state with the full Hamiltonian H in $\mathcal{M}_{\rm ref}$.

The second-order energy correction has the well-known form

$$\begin{aligned} E^{(2)} &= -\sum_{\nu\notin\mathcal{M}_{\rm ref}} \frac{|\langle\psi_{\rm ref}|W|\phi_\nu\rangle|^2}{E^{(0)}_\nu - E^{(0)}_{\rm ref}} = -\sum_{\nu\notin\mathcal{M}_{\rm ref}} \frac{|\langle\psi_{\rm ref}|H|\phi_\nu\rangle|^2}{E^{(0)}_\nu - E^{(0)}_{\rm ref}} \\ &= -\sum_{\mu'\in\mathcal{M}_{\rm ref}} c_{\mu'} \sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{M}_{\rm ref}} c^\star_\mu \sum_{\nu\notin\mathcal{M}_{\rm ref}} \frac{\langle\phi_\mu|H|\phi_\nu\rangle\langle\phi_\nu|H|\phi_{\mu'}\rangle}{E^{(0)}_\nu - E^{(0)}_{\rm ref}}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Higher-order perturbative contributions can be formulated in a straight-forward manner with the same basic structures for matrix elements and energy denominators. Likewise the perturbative corrections to the many-body states can be evaluated, which is of interest for the computation of observables other than the energies. One can also employ a recursive formulation, as discussed in Refs. [38, 39], to systematically extract high-order corrections.

The matrix elements in the final expressions for the perturbative corrections only involve the simple unperturbed basis states $|\phi_\nu\rangle$, i.e., the Slater determinants of harmonic-oscillator single-particle states that are the basis in m-scheme NCSM calculations. Those matrix elements can be readily evaluated using standard NCSM technology. As an efficient alternative, we employ normal-ordering techniques for evaluating the matrix elements in Eq. (6). We normal order the Hamiltonian with respect to the rightmost determinant $|\phi_{\mu'}\rangle$, which simplifies the evaluation of the inner sums substantially, and we update the normal ordering for each element of the outer μ' summation. Similar techniques have been applied in quantum chemistry [40, 41]. The computational scaling of the second-order correction for large reference spaces is given by $\dim(\mathcal{M}_{\rm ref})^2\cdot n_p^2\cdot n_h$, where n_p, n_h denote the number of particle and hole states, respectively.

Combining NCSM and MCPT. Using the multi-configurational formulation of perturbation theory, we can define a two-stage hybrid approach for the *ab initio* calculation of ground-state energies and excitation spectra.

The first step consists of an NCSM calculation in a small model space, typically $N^{\rm ref}_{\rm max} = 0, 2$ or 4, for a set of low-lying eigenstates. These eigenstates guarantee good J^π quantum numbers and a separation of the center-of-mass degrees of freedom. They already contain the most important multi-particle multi-hole correlations as a seed for the perturbative improvement. The second step then consists of the evaluation of the perturbative corrections in a large model space, typically we use a truncation of the single-particle harmonic oscillator basis at $e_{\rm max} = (2n+l)_{\rm max} = 12$. Each NCSM eigenstate of interest serves as reference state for separate evaluations of the perturbative correction. Thus, perturbation theory is used as a convergence booster that efficiently accounts for correlations from a huge model space.

Formally, this NCSM with perturbative improvement (NCSM-PT) is guaranteed to converge to the exact result in two different limits: In the limit $N^{\rm ref}_{\rm max}\to\infty$ the energy corrections go to zero and we obtain the exact eigenvalue. Alternatively, in the limit of the perturbative order $p\to\infty$ the exact value is also reproduced for all $N^{\rm ref}_{\rm max}$, provided that the perturbation series converges. Later on, we will restrict ourselves to second-order perturbative corrections, to keep the computational cost at a minimum, and vary $N^{\rm ref}_{\rm max}$ to explore the stability of the NCSM-PT energies.

As input for the perturbative corrections the initial three-body Hamiltonian is normal-ordered with respect to the multi-configurational NCSM reference state. Subsequently, we discard the residual three-body part and work with the Hamilto-



FIG. 1. (color online) Partial sums (left-hand panels) of ^{6}Li and ^{7}Li for the chiral NN+3N interaction with $\alpha = 0.08\,\mathrm{fm}^4$ and $N_{\rm max} = 4$, $\hbar\Omega = 20\,\mathrm{MeV}$. The corresponding energy corrections for each order are displayed in the right-hand panels.



FIG. 2. (color online) Reference energies (○, □) and second-order NCSM-PT results (●, ■) for $N^{\rm ref}_{\rm max} = 0$ and 2, respectively, for the ground states of the carbon isotopes $^{10-18}$C with the chiral NN+3N interaction for $\alpha = 0.08\,\mathrm{fm}^4$ and $e_{\rm max} = 12$, $\hbar\Omega = 20\,\mathrm{MeV}$. Importance-truncated NCSM calculations (▲) are shown for comparison. Experimental values are indicated by black bars [42].



FIG. 3. (color online) Same as Fig. 2 for the oxygen isotopes $^{18-26}$O.

nian in multi-reference normal-ordered two-body approximation [37]. Note that the initial NCSM calculation is performed with explicit 3N terms included.

Convergence Characteristics. To demonstrate that the multi-configurational perturbation series is well behaved for NCSM reference states, we explicitly evaluate high-order energy correlations adopting the recursive scheme discussed in [38, 39]. As benchmark systems we choose ^{6}Li and ^{7}Li using a $N^{\rm ref}_{\rm max} = 0$ reference space and a small $N_{\rm max}$-truncated space for the perturbative corrections, so that a direct comparison with explicit NCSM calculations for the same $N_{\rm max}$ is possible. Figure 1 shows the p-th order partial sums in the left-hand panels and the size of the individual perturbative corrections on a logarithmic scale in the right-hand panels. The different data sets represent to the lowest four eigenstates from the $N^{\rm ref}_{\rm max} = 0$ space used as reference states in the perturbative calculation. For all states the partial sums converge quickly and higher-order energy corrections are exponentially suppressed. The high-order partial sums agree within a few ten keV with the results of direct NCSM calculations in the same model space. We also find that the low-order partial sums provide a robust approximation to the converged value.

Ground-State Energies. For heavier systems and larger model spaces, where we cannot compute the perturbation series up to high orders explicitly, we limit ourselves to the computationally simple second-order perturbative correction. We explore ground and excited states through the carbon and oxygen isotopic chains, including even and odd-mass isotopes. For these systems large-scale calculations with the importance-truncated NCSM using the same Hamiltonian are still feasible, so that we can benchmark the NCSM-PT results directly. The importance-truncated NCSM calculations are performed up to $N_{\rm max} = 12$ and we extrapolate the energies at the variational minimum for the oscillator frequency $\hbar\Omega$ with a simple exponential function [21, 36].

The results for the ground-state energies of carbon and oxygen isotopes are summarized in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively. In addition to the NCSM-PT results including the second-order correction for $N^{\rm ref}_{\rm max} = 0$ and 2, we also show the reference energy, i.e., the NCSM eigenvalue obtained in the $N^{\rm ref}_{\rm max}$ space. While these reference energies show a sizable dependence on $N^{\rm ref}_{\rm max}$, the second-order corrections lead to stable results within a narrow band of about 3% relative width. This band perfectly overlaps with the large-scale importance-truncated NCSM results. Closer inspection shows that agreement for $N^{\rm ref}_{\rm max} = 2$ is even better with deviations on the order of 1%, which corresponds to the extrapolation uncertainties of the importance-truncated NCSM results. This indicates that the $N^{\rm ref}_{\rm max} = 2$ space adds important correlations to the reference states than cannot be captured by the second-order perturbative correction. An exception are the beyond-dripline isotopes ^{25,26}O—these unbound states require correlations beyond small $N^{\rm ref}_{\rm max}$ spaces and second-order corrections as is already evident from



FIG. 4. (color online) Spectra obtained via second-order NCSM-PT (left-hand columns in each panel) for selected carbon and oxygen isotopes with the chiral NN+3N interaction with $\alpha = 0.08\,\mathrm{fm}^4$ and $e_{\max} = 12$, $\hbar\Omega = 16\,\mathrm{MeV}$. Importance-truncated NCSM results for a sequence of model-space truncations $N_{\max}$ are displayed in the right-hand columns of each panel. Numerical values for the ground-states energies are given below the lowest levels. For $A \geq 16$ and $N_{\max}^{\mathrm{ref}} = 4$ we introduced an additional truncation $c_\mu c_{\mu'} \geq 10^{-6}$ ($\mu \neq \mu'$) for the calculation of the second-order energy corrections in NCSM-PT in order to reduce computing time.

their NCSM convergence pattern [21]. We conclude that the NCSM-PT with $N_{\max}^{\mathrm{ref}} = 2$ generally provides accurate ground-state energies and represents an ideal compromise between accuracy and computational efficiency. A single such NCSM-PT calculation requires typically two to three orders of magnitude less computing time than the corresponding importance-truncated NCSM calculation.

Excitation Spectra. By evaluating the second-order correction for different reference states extracted from the NCSM spectrum in the $N_{\max}^{\mathrm{ref}}$ space we can address the excited states directly. We obtain the absolute NCSM-PT energies of the excited states from separate calculations of the second-order correction and subsequently subtract the NCSM-PT ground-state energy to extract excitation energies. Figure 4 presents the excitation spectra of selected carbon and oxygen isotopes compared to direct NCSM calculations.

It is well known that same-parity excitation energies in NCSM converge much faster with $N_{\max}$ than absolute energies. Therefore, many of the NCSM excitation energies shown in the right-hand columns of each panel in Fig. 4 are already quite stable. The NCSM-PT, which leads to very stable absolute energies for the excited states, can hardly improve the convergence of the excitation energies. We find similar stability with respect to $N_{\max}$ or $N_{\max}^{\mathrm{ref}}$ and good agreement for practically all excitation energies. In cases where the level ordering changes in the NCSM at large $N_{\max}$ the NCSM-PT calculations give the correct level ordering right away, examples are lowest two states in ^{15}C, and the third and fourth state in ^{19}O. As for the ground-state energies, an NCSM-PT calculation for $N_{\max}^{\mathrm{ref}} = 2$ provides a good compromise of accuracy and computational efficiency. Due to the scaling with the reference-space dimension, the NCSM-PT calculations with $N_{\max}^{\mathrm{ref}} = 4$ reference states need about two orders of magnitude more computing time than with $N_{\max}^{\mathrm{ref}} = 2$.

Conclusion and Outlook. We have introduced a hybrid *ab inito* approach, the NCSM-PT, that combines the flexibility of the NCSM with the efficiency of MCPT to compute ground and excited-state energies for arbitrary open-shell systems in large model spaces. The NCSM in small model spaces is used to define a multi-determinantal reference state that contains the most important multi-particle multi-hole correlations and the second-order correction from MCPT is used to capture correlation effects from a large model space. Everything is formulated in an *m*-scheme basis, so that even and odd-mass nuclei and excited states can be treated directly. We find very good agreement of the ground-state and excitation energies obtained in NCSM-PT with direct NCSM calculations—the accuracy of the NCSM-PT is on par with more demanding approaches like the multi-reference IM-SRG.

Because of its low computational cost, the NCSM-PT is ideally suited for exploratory calculations over a large range of nuclei covering the full medium-mass regime. With the rapid progress in the construction of consistent NN+3N interactions from chiral EFT at various orders and with different regulators [43–45], survey calculations for testing and constraining new interactions will be of great importance.

Acknowledgements. This work is supported by the DFG through contract SFB 1245, the Helmholtz International Center for FAIR within the framework of the LOEWE program launched by the State of Hesse, and the BMBF through contracts 05P15RDFN1 (NuSTAR.DA) and 05P2015 (NuSTAR R&D). Numerical calculations have been performed at the computing center of the TU Darmstadt (lichtenberg), at the Jülich Supercomputing Centre (jureca), at the LOEWE-CSC Frankfurt.

* alexander.tichai@physik.tu-darmstadt.de

[1] D. J. Dean and M. Hjorth-Jensen, Phys. Rev. C **69**, 054320 (2004).
[2] R. J. Bartlett and M. Musial, Rev. Mod. Phys. **79**, 291 (2007).
[3] G. Hagen, T. Papenbrock, D. J. Dean, and M. Hjorth-Jensen, Phys. Rev. C **82**, 034330 (2010).
[4] K. Kowalski, D. J. Dean, M. Hjorth-Jensen, T. Papenbrock, and P. Piecuch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 132501 (2004).
[5] P. Piecuch, J. R. Gour, and M. Wloch, Int. J. Quant. Chem. **109**, 3268 (2009).
[6] S. Binder, J. Langhammer, A. Calci, and R. Roth, Phys. Lett. B **736**, 119 (2014).
[7] H. Hergert, S. K. Bogner, S. Binder, A. Calci, J. Langhammer, R. Roth, and A. Schwenk, Phys. Rev. C **87**, 034307 (2013).
[8] K. Tsukiyama, S. K. Bogner, and A. Schwenk, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 222502 (2011).
[9] T. D. Morris, N. Parzuchowski, and S. K. Bogner, Phys. Rev. C **92**, 034331 (2015).
[10] T. M. Henderson, J. Dukelsky, G. E. Scuseria, A. Signoracci, and T. Duguet, Phys. Rev. C **89**, 054305 (2014).
[11] H. Hergert, S. K. Bogner, T. D. Morris, A. Schwenk, and K. Tsukiyama, Phys. Rep. **621**, 165 (2016).
[12] H. Hergert, Phys. Scripta **92**, 023002 (2017).
[13] V. Soma, A. Cipollone, C. Barbieri, P. Navrátil, and T. Duguet, Phys. Rev. C **89**, 061301 (2014).
[14] A. Cipollone, C. Barbieri, and P. Navrátil, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 062501 (2013).
[15] A. Tichai, J. Langhammer, S. Binder, and R. Roth, Phys. Lett. B **756**, 283 (2016).
[16] G. R. Jansen, M. D. Schuster, A. Signoracci, G. Hagen, and P. Navrátil, Phys. Rev. C **94**, 011301 (2016).
[17] S. Bogner, H. Hergert, J. Holt, A. Schwenk, S. Binder, A. Calci, J. Langhammer, and R. Roth, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 142501 (2014).
[18] S. R. Stroberg, H. Hergert, J. D. Holt, S. K. Bogner, and A. Schwenk, Phys. Rev. C **93**, 051301(R) (2016).
[19] S. R. Stroberg, A. Calci, H. Hergert, J. D. Holt, S. K. Bogner, R. Roth, and A. Schwenk, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 032502 (2017).
[20] H. Hergert and R. Roth, Phys. Rev. C **80**, 024312 (2009).
[21] H. Hergert, S. Binder, A. Calci, J. Langhammer, and R. Roth, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 242501 (2013).
[22] H. Hergert, S. K. Bogner, T. D. Morris, S. Binder, A. Calci, J. Langhammer, and R. Roth, Phys. Rev. C **90**, 041302(R) (2014).
[23] P. Navrátil, S. Quaglioni, I. Stetcu, and B. Barrett, J. Phys. G **36**, 083101 (2009).
[24] R. Roth, J. Langhammer, A. Calci, S. Binder, and P. Navrátil, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 072501 (2011).
[25] B. R. Barrett, P. Navrátil, and J. P. Vary, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **69**, 131 (2013).
[26] E. Gebrerufael, K. Vobig, H. Hergert, and R. Roth, (2016), arXiv:1610.05254.
[27] D. R. Entem and R. Machleidt, Phys. Rev. C **68**, 041001(R).
[28] P. Navrátil, Few-Body Syst. **41**, 117 (2007).
[29] R. Roth, S. Binder, K. Vobig, A. Calci, J. Langhammer, and P. Navrátil, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 052501 (2012).
[30] S. K. Bogner, R. J. Furnstahl, and R. J. Perry, Phys. Rev. C **75**, 061001(R) (2007).
[31] H. Hergert and R. Roth, Phys. Rev. C **75**, 051001(R) (2007).
[32] R. Roth, S. Reinhardt, and H. Hergert, Phys. Rev. C **77**, 064003 (2008).
[33] E. D. Jurgenson, P. Maris, R. J. Furnstahl, P. Navrátil, W. E. Ormand, and J. P. Vary, Phys. Rev. C **87**, 054312 (2013).
[34] Z. Rolik, A. Szabados, and P. R. Surján, J. Chem. Phys. **119**, 1922 (2003).
[35] P. R. Surján, A. Szabados, and D. Köhalmi, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) **13**, 223 (2004).
[36] R. Roth, Phys. Rev. C **79**, 064324 (2009).
[37] E. Gebrerufael, A. Calci, and R. Roth, Phys. Rev. C **93**, 031301(R) (2016).
[38] R. Roth and J. Langhammer, Phys. Lett. B **683**, 272 (2010).
[39] J. Langhammer, R. Roth, and C. Stumpf, Phys. Rev. C **86**, 054315 (2012).
[40] G. Hose and U. Kaldor, J. Phys. B **12**, 3827 (1979).
[41] G. Hose and U. Kaldor, Phys. Scripta **21**, 357 (1980).
[42] M. Wang, G. Audi, A. H. Wapstra, F. G. Kondev, M. MacCormick, X. Xu, and B. Pfeiffer, Chin. Phys. C **36**, 1603 (2012).
[43] E. Epelbaum, H. Krebs, and U.-G. Meißner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 122301 (2015).
[44] D. R. Entem, N. Kaiser, R. Machleidt, and Y. Nosyk, Phys. Rev. C **91**, 014002 (2015).
[45] D. R. Entem, R. Machleidt, and Y. Nosyk, (2017), in preparation.